



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/691,452	10/22/2003	Michael J. Kardauskas	SR.US.1	7331
24111	7590	01/21/2009	EXAMINER	
MESMER & DELEAULT, PLLC			BARTON, JEFFREY THOMAS	
1 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVE.				
SUITE 125			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PORTSMOUTH, NH 03801			1795	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/21/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PHIL@BIZ-PATLAW.COM
mailbox@biz-patlaw.com
phildecker@mac.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/691,452	KARDAUSKAS ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
Jeffrey T. Barton	1795	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Jeffrey T. Barton.

(3) Scott Southworth.

(2) Phillip Decker.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 January 2009

Time: 11:30 am

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jeffrey T. Barton/
 Art Unit 1795

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called Mr. Decker to inquire whether a response to the office action of 13 June 2008 had been sent. Mr. Decker indicated that his office had sent no response, but referred the Examiner to Mr. Southworth as a new attorney working on the case. The examiner called Mr Southworth, who did not indicate that any response was sent, and said that Mr. Decker's answer should be relied upon, since he is the attorney of record on the case.